IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA (On Appeal from the Court of Appeal for the Province of Ontario)

BETWEEN: APPELLANT EDWARDS BOOKS AND ART LIMITED AND: RESPONDENT HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN AND BETWEEN: APPELLANT HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN 5.2 AND: RESPONDENT NORTOWN FOODS LIMITED L.1 : ; AND BETWEEN: . } LONGO BROTHERS FRUIT MARKETS LIMITED, THOMAS LONGO, JOSEPH LONGO, carrying on business as LONGO BROTHERS FRUIT MARKET r3 APPELLANTS 1.9 1.3 AND: RESPONDENT . 1 HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN : 3 : ? AND BETWEEN: APPELLANT PAUL MAGDER AND: RESPONDENT HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN 1.3 FACTUM OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF 2.1 PROVINCE OF NEWFOUNDLAND PCC 17 1985 INTERVENOR ن

PRODUIT

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA (On Appeal from the Court of Appeal for the Province of Ontario)

BETWEEN:

EDWARDS BOOKS AND ART LIMITED

APPELLANT

AND:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

RESPONDENT

AND BETWEEN:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

APPELLANT

AND:

NORTOWN FOODS LIMITED

RESPONDENT

AND BETWEEN:

LONGO BROTHERS FRUIT MARKETS LIMITED, THOMAS LONGO, JOSEPH LONGO, carrying on business as LONGO BROTHERS FRUIT MARKET

APPELLANTS

AND:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

RESPONDENT

AND BETWEEN:

PAUL MAGDER

APPELLANT

AND:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

RESPONDENT

FACTUM OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF PROVINCE OF NEWFOUNDLAND

INTERVENOR

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA (On Appeal from the Court of Appeal for the Province of Ontario)

BETWEEN:

EDWARDS BOOKS AND ART LIMITED

APPELLANT

AND:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

RESPONDENT

AND BETWEEN:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

APPELLANT

AND:

NORTOWN FOODS LIMITED

RESPONDENT

AND BETWEEN:

LONGO BROTHERS FRUIT MARKETS LIMITED, THOMAS LONGO, JOSEPH LONGO, carrying on business as LONGO BROTHERS FRUIT MARKET

APPELLANTS

AND:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

RESPONDENT

AND BETWEEN: ;

PAUL MAGDER

APPELLANT

AND:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

RESPONDENT

## FACTUM OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE PROVINCE OF NEWFOUNDLAND

#### INTERVENOR

(For names and addresses of the Solicitors for the parties and their respective agents, see inside following title page)

MINISTRY OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Sixteenth Floor 18 King Street East Toronto, Ontario NSC 1C5

Solicitors for the Crown

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON Barristers and Solicitors Box 25, Commerce Court West Toronto, Ontario M5L 1A9

Solicitor for the Appellant Edwards Books and Art Limited

MCCARTHY & MCCARTHY Barristers and Solicitors Box 48 Toronto Dominion Center Toronto, Ontario M5K 1E6

Solicitor for the Rrespondent Nortown Foods Limited

GOODMAN AND GOODMAN Barristers and Solicitors Suite 3000 20 Queen Street West Toronto, Ontario M5H 1V5

Sclicitor for the Appellant Longo Brothers Fruit Markets Limited, Thomas Longo, Joseph Longo, carrying on business as Longo Brothers Fruit Market SOLOWAY, WRIGHT, HOUSTON Barristers and Solicitors 170 Metcalfe Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 1P3

Ottawa Agent for the Solicitors for the Crown

HEWITT, HEWITT, NESBITT, REID Barristers and Solicitors Suite 604, 75 Albert Street Ottawa, Ontario KIP 5E7

Ottawa Agent for the Solicitor for the Appellant Edwards Books and Art Limited

GOWLING & HENDERSON Barristers and Solicitors 160 Elgin Street Ottawa, Ontario K1N 8S3

Ottawa Agent for the Solicitor for the Respondent Nortown Foods LImited

HEWITT, HEWITT, NESBITT, REID Barristers and Solicitors Suite 604 75 Albert Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5E7

Ottawa Agent for the Solicitor for the Appellant Longo Brothers Fruit Markets Limited, Thomas Longo, Joseph Longo, carrying on business as Longo Brothers Fruit Market LOBL, RECHT, FREEDMAN Barristers and Solicitors Suite 202 250 Dundas Street West Toronto, Ontario M5T 2Z5 HEWITT, HEWITT, NESBITT, REID Barristers and Solicitors Suite 604 75 Albert Street Ottawa, Ontario

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA Department of Justice Wellington and Kent Streets Ottawa, Ontario

Solicitor for the Intervenor the Attorney General of Canada

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA Ministry of the Attorney General Parliament Buildings Victoria, BC

Intervenor

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SASKATCHEWAN Department of Justice Legislative Building Regina, Saskatchewan

Intervenor

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA Department of the Attorney General 405 Broadway Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 3L6

Intervenor

BURKE-ROBERTSON, CHADWICK AND RITCHIE Barristers and Solicitors Suite 1800 130 Albert Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5G4

Ottawa Agent for the Intervenor the Attorney General of British Columbia

GOWLING AND HENDERSON Barristers and Solicitors 160 Elgin Street Ottawa, Ontario K1N 883

Ottawa Agent for the Intervenor the Attorney General of Saskatchewan

SOLOWAY, WRIGHT, HOUSTON Barristers and Solicitors 170 Metcalfe Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 1P3

Ottawa Agent for the Intervenor the Attorney General of Manitoba.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEBEC Department of Justice 1200, rte. de L'Eglise Ste-Foy, Quebec

Intervenor

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW BRUNSWICK Centennial Building P. O. Box 6000 Fredericton, N.B.

Intervenor

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NOVA SCOTIA Departmer: of the Attorney General Provincial Building P. O. Box 7 Halifax, Nova Scotia

Intervenor

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEWFOUNDLAND Department of Justice Fifth Floor Confederation Building St. John's, Newfoundland A1C 5T7

Intervenor

NOEL, DECARY, AUBRY & ASSOCIATES Barristers and Solicitors 111, rue Champlain Hull Quebec

Ottawa Agent for the Intervenor the Attorney General of Quebec

BURKE-ROBERTSON, CHADWICK AND RITCHIE Barristers and Solicitors Suite 1800, 130 Albert Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5G4

Ottawa Agent for the Intervenor the Attorney General of New Brunswick

BURRITT, GRACE NEVILLE & HALL Barristers and Solicitors Suite 500 77 Metcalfe Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5L6

Ottawa Agent for the Intervenor the Attorney General of Nova Scotia

BURKE-ROBERTSON, CHADWICK AND RITCHIE Barristers and Solicitors Suite 1800 130 Albert Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5G4

Ottawa Agent for the Intervenor the Attorney General of Newfoundland

DAVID THOMAS
Barrister and Solicitor
Suite 403
22 King Street West
Oshawa, Ontario
L1H 1A3

Intervenor

HEWITT, HEWITT, NESBITT, REID Barristers and Solicitors Suite 604 75 Albert Street Ottawa, Ontario KIP 5E7

Ottawa Agent for the Intervenor 7th Day Adventist Church

### INDEX

		PAGE
PART I	STATEMENT OF FACTS	ì
PART II	POINTS IN ISSUE	2
PART III	ARGUMENT	3
PART IV	ORDER DESIRED	8
	LIST OF AUTHORITIES	10
	STATUTES	11

## PART I - STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. The Attorney General of Newfoundland adopts the Statement of Facts contained in the Factum of the Crown represented by the Attorney General for the Province of Ontario.

## PART II - POINTS IN ISSUE

2. The Attorney General of Newfoundland intervenes with leave of this Honourable Court in support of the Attorney General of Ontario, and desires to make submissions on the Questions as set out in the factum of the Attorney General of Ontario at paragraphs 21 - 25.

## PART III - ARGUMENT

3. Newfoundland is a jurisdiction in which there is legislation regulating the closing of certain shops on holidays. That legislation is <u>The Shops Closing Act</u>, S.N. 1977, c. 107 and is similar to <u>The Retail Business Holidays Act</u>, R.S.O. c. 453.

#### Reference:

The Shops Closing Act, S.N. 1977, c. 107.

4. With respect to Question 1, the Attorney General of Newfoundland adopts the arguments of the Attorney General of Ontario in support of the validity of the Ontario Act.

#### Reference:

Crown's Factum, paragraphs 28 - 44.

5. The Attorney General of Newfoundland adopts the arguments of the Attorney General of Ontario with respect to Question 2.

#### Reference:

Crown's Factum, paragraphs 45 - 104.

- 6. It is respectfully submitted that <u>The Retail Business</u>
  <u>Holidays Act</u> and similar Acts in other jurisdictions do not
  offend the freedom of conscience and religion guaranteed by
  section 2(a) of the <u>Charter</u>. The Acts have a secular purpose
  and declare as "holidays" certain days of the week and year,
  some of which by necessity have a religious connotation, but
  the majority of which have no religious connotation.
- Holidays Act and similar acts in other jurisdictions do not contravene section 7 of the Charter. It is submitted that the "right" to open a place of business on a day declared a holiday by legislation is not a right guaranteed by section 7 of the Charter.
- 8. Wilson, J. points out at page 55 of her decision in Operation Dismantle Inc. et al v. Canada et al (1985) 59 N.R, "even an independent, substantive right to life, liberty and security of the person cannot be absolute". Madame Justice Wilson states as follows at page 55:

"The rights under the Charter not being absolute, their content or scope must be discerned quite apart from any limitation sought to be imposed upon them by the government under section 1. As was

pointed out in Re Federal Republic of Germany and Rauca (1983), 41 O.R. (2d) 225 at page 244:

The Charter was not enacted in a vaccuum and the rights set out therein must be interpreted rationally having regard to existing law ...

There is no liberty without law and there is no law without some restriction of liberty."

9. With respect to the application of section 15(1) of the Charter, the Attorney General of Newfoundland adopts the argument of the Attorney General of Ontario.

#### Reference:

Crown's Factum, paragraphs 99 - 104.

10. It is submitted that the purpose of the the Charter, including section 15, is to protect and enhance substantive rights relating to equality of opportunity. Not all different treatment of individuals by the law is prohibited by section 15 of the Charter. The Charter does not prohibit all distinction that the legislator sees fit to make in its various laws. Section 15 specifies clearly certain types of discrimination which are forbidden, and without giving a detailed list, it is discrimination based on "race, national or ethnic origin, colour, religion, sex, age or mental or physical disability".

- 11. It is respectfully submitted that the <u>Retail Business</u>

  <u>Holidays Act</u> and similar legislation in other jurisdictions
  does not offend section 15 of the <u>Charter</u>.
- 12. It is respectfully submitted that, in the event that a prima facie infringement of an asserted Charter right is found, then the Retail Business Holidays Act and similar legislation is justified under section 1 of the Charter.
- 13. If it should be determined that the <u>Retail Business</u> <u>Holidays Act</u> and similar legislation or any part thereof, does violate section 2(b), 7 and 15 of the <u>Charter</u>, the Attorney General of Newfoundland submits that the Act or part thereof, is nevertheless saved by section 1 of the <u>Charter</u> which provides:
  - The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society.
- 14. It is submitted that the "prescribed by law" component of section 1 is met: the Retail Business Holidays Act and

similar legislation are laws enacted by the Provincial Legislatures.

- 15. It is conceded that the words "demonstrably justified" place the onus on the Government to demonstrate that the limits it seeks to impose on the rights protected by the Charter are reasonable limits.
- 16. It is submitted that the phrase "reasonable limits" is the crucial phrase in section 1 of the <u>Charter</u>. The phrase requires defending the limit to offer reasons to support the validity of the limits it imposes on the rights protected in the <u>Charter</u>.
- 17. The Attorney General of Newfoundland adopts the arguments of the Attorney General of Ontario in his factum to the effect that to the extent the legislation infringes the Charter it is a reasonable limit prescribed by law and demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society.

### Reference:

Crown's Factum, paragraphs 105 - 121.

#### PART IV - NATURE OF THE ORDER REQUESTED

- 18. It is respectfully submitted that the appeals of the Appellants Edwards Books and Art Ltd., Longo Brothers Fruit Markets Ltd. and Paul Magder should be dismissed and the appeal by the Attorney General for the Province of Ontario against the acquittal of the Respondent Nortown Foods Ltd. should be allowed and the conviction restored.
- 19. It is further respectfully submitted that the constitutional questions should be answered as follows:
- The <u>Retail Business Holidays Act</u> is within the legislative powers of the Province of Ontario.
- 2. The <u>Retail Business Holidays Act</u> does not infringe or deny the rights and freedoms guaranteed by the <u>Charter</u>.
- 3. If there is an infringement of the rights and freedoms guaranteed by the <u>Charter</u>, the limits on the rights and freedoms are justified by Section 1 of the Charter.

ALL OF WHICH is respectfully submitted by

LESLIE R. THOMS
Of Counsel for the Attorney
General of Newfoundland

### LIST OF AUTHORITIES

- 1. Operation Dismantle Inc. et al v. Canada (1985) 59 N.R.
- 2. Quebec Association of Protestant School Boards v. A. G. Quebec (1983) 140 D.L.R. (3d) 33.